UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,931	09/22/2003	Gee-Sung Chae	041993-5233 5116		
9629 7590 10/16/2007			EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			KIM, RICHARD H		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/664,931	CHAE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard H. Kim	2871	

	Richard H. Kim	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mphant Amendment	(1 10E-024).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,		•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3,4,7-11</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14 and 71</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,13,72</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
			•				
		,					

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 12 of "at least one layer of Ti layer and TiO2 layer on the at least one surface of the gate electrode, the semiconductor layer and the source and drain electrodes" and to claim 72 of "on the at least one surface of the gate electrode", "the source and drain electrodes" and "of the whole surface areas" would require further search and/or consideration..

DREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER